### 6.8 Least squares linear fits

It should be said that there is no good reason for the "least distance" algorithm - if $x$ and $y$ both contain errors, the point they delineate will not necessarily move perpendicular to the true line on which they would lie if there were no errors. This is really just a quick and dirty way of seeing how much the answer may be affected if we relax the assumption of $x$ (say) being error-free.
Suppose the true line has the equation

$$
y=m x+c
$$

and we are concerned with the data point $\left(X_{i}, Y_{i}\right)$. The line joining this point to the true line must have slope $-1 / m$, and since it must pass through $\left(X_{i}, Y_{i}\right)$ we can find its equation. It is then straightforward to find the intersection of the two lines and thus the distance $d_{i}$ between them, which is

$$
d_{i}^{2}=\frac{m X_{i}+c-Y_{i}}{1+m^{2}}
$$

This is non-linear in $m$ so we have to minimize $\sum_{i} d_{i}^{2}$ numerically.
A fully Bayesian solution is available for the problem of fitting a straight line when there are errors in $x$ and $y$ - see Gull, 1989, ("Bayesian Data Analysis: Straight-line Fitting," in Maximum Entropy and Bayesian Methods, J. Skilling (ed), Kluwer Academic Publishers) - a PDF is linked from these solutions. The derivation is rather subtle and, as in the above case, there is no closed-form solution for the parameters.

